

Notes for MA591U, Spring 2001

(Symbolic Computation)

Galois Theory of Polynomials

NOTATION: I use the following notation in these notes. The conventions come from various algebra texts.

(1) ι indicates the identity function, or the identity permutation, depending on the context.

(2) $(1), (1\ 2), (1\ 3\ 2)$ denote permutations according to cyclic form.

(3) $H < G$ means “ H is a subgroup of G .”

(4) $N \triangleleft G$ means “ N is a normal subgroup of G .”

(5) S_n indicates the group of permutations of n objects.

(6) A permutation σ is *even* if $\sigma\left(\prod_{i < j}(x_i - x_j)\right) = \prod_{i < j}(x_i - x_j)$.

(6) A_n indicates the group of *even* permutations of n objects; e.g., $(\sigma \in A_n) \Rightarrow (\sigma(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = \sigma(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1))$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be squarefree. We want to study the “symmetries” of the roots.

Given f , there is a *splitting field* $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ that is the smallest field that contains all the roots of f . Symmetries of the roots of f are automorphisms of k over \mathbb{Q} , say

$$\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q}) \doteq \{\varphi | \varphi: k \rightarrow k \text{ is a bijective ring homomorphism and } \varphi|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \iota\}.$$

We also refer to this set as $\text{Gal}(f)$.

FACTS:

1. In fact, $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ is a group under composition.

2. For any $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ and any root α_i of f ,

$$0 = \varphi(0) = \varphi(f(\alpha_i)) = f(\varphi(\alpha_i)),$$

so $\varphi(\alpha_i)$ is also a root of f . Hence φ is a permutation of the roots of f . Thus

$$\text{Gal}(f) < S_n.$$

DEFINITION: We say that f is *reducible* over a field k when it factors as $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)$ with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in k$. If f is not reducible over k , we say it is *irreducible* over k .

GENERAL FACT: If f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} and its splitting field is $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, then for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists some $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\varphi(\alpha_1) = \alpha_i$.

EXAMPLES:

1. Let $f(x) = x^2 + ax + b \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Suppose f is reducible over k . The splitting field of f is $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{Q}$. Hence $\text{Gal}(f) = \{\iota\}$, since there are no roots outside of \mathbb{Q} to permute.

Now assume f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Then we know from the general fact above that $(1\ 2) \in \text{Gal}(f) < S_2$. This tells us that $\text{Gal}(f) = S_2 = \{(1), (1\ 2)\}$.

2. Let $f(x) = x^3 + ax + b \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. (As we will indicate later, we can actually write *any* cubic in this form.)

If f is reducible over \mathbb{Q} , it has a factor of degree one, and so it has a root in \mathbb{Q} : $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \cdot g(x)$ where $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is quadratic. Then the splitting field of f will be the same as the splitting field of g ; that is,

$$\text{Gal}(f) = \text{Gal}(g) \in \{\{\iota\}, S_3\}.$$

If f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ be its splitting field. Then

$$\text{Gal}(f) < S_3 = \{(1), (1\ 2), (1\ 3), (2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 3\ 2)\}.$$

Since f is irreducible, $\exists \varphi_i \in \text{Gal}(f)$ such that $\varphi_1(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_3$ and $\varphi_3(\alpha_2) = \alpha_3$. The only permutations that contain enough subgroups to satisfy this are S_3 or $A_3 = \{(1), (1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 3\ 2)\}$, which are both “cyclic”.

How do we tell which is the Galois group of a particular f ? We take a brief excursion into symmetric functions.

DEFINITION: Given $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\pi \in S_n$, we define $f^\pi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$.

EXAMPLE: Let $f(x) = x_1^2 + x_2$ and $\pi = (1\ 2)$. Then $f^\pi(x) = x_2^2 + x_1$.

THEOREM: If $f = f^\pi$ for every $\pi \in S_n$, then we can write f as a polynomial in

$$s_0 = 1 \quad s_1 = \sum_i x_i \quad s_2 = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j \quad s_3 = \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k \cdots \quad s_n = x_1 \cdots x_n.$$

PROOF:

See Cox, Little, O’Shea’s *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*. Their proof uses Gröbner bases.

FACT: If we define the s_i on the roots α_i of a monic polynomial f , then

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i s_i x^{n-i}.$$

(Simply multiply out $f(x) = \prod_i (x - \alpha_i)$ to demonstrate this.)

EXAMPLES:

1. $(x_1 - x_2)^2 = x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2 = (x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_1x_2 = s_1^2 - 4s_2$.
2. $[(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3)]^2 = s_1^2s_2^2 - 4s_2^3 - 4s_1^3s_2 - 27s_3^2 + 18s_1s_2s_3$.

Returning to the irreducible cubic f , let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the roots of f . We want to decide if $\text{Gal}(f) = S_3$ or $\text{Gal}(f) = A_3$. Consider $\delta = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)$ and set $\Delta = \delta^2$. From the fact immediately above, we know that given our f , $a = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$ and $b = -\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3$ while $0 = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$. The second example tells us then that $\Delta = -4a^3 - 27b^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

CLAIM: For any irreducible $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\text{Gal}(f) = A_3$ if, and only if, $\Delta = x^2$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Q}$; that is, if and only if $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$.

PROOF:

Suppose $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then δ is invariant under all $\pi \in \text{Gal}(f)$. Hence all elements of $\text{Gal}(f)$ are even. So $\text{Gal}(f) < A_3$. Since $\text{Gal}(f) \neq \{(1)\}$ (as f is irreducible), and the only non-trivial subgroup of A_3 is A_3 itself, $\text{Gal}(f) = A_3$.

Conversely, assume that Δ is not square in \mathbb{Q} , so that $\delta \notin \mathbb{Q}$. A general fact: if k is the splitting field of a squarefree f , and $\gamma \in k \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then $\exists \sigma \in \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\sigma(\gamma) \neq \gamma$. Now, this means that since $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\delta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we have some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(f)$ such that $\sigma(\gamma) \neq \gamma$. Hence $\sigma(\delta) = -\delta$, whence $\sigma \notin A_3$. Thus $\text{Gal}(f) \not\subset A_3$, and we conclude that $\text{Gal}(f) = S_3$, as there are no other possibilities.

EXAMPLES:

1. $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$ has $\Delta = -4 \cdot -1 - 27 = -23 \notin \mathbb{Q}^2$. So $\text{Gal}(f) = S_3$.
2. $f(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1$ has $\Delta = -4 \cdot -27 - 27 \cdot 1 = 81 = 9^2$ so $\text{Gal}(f) = A_3$.

DEFINITION: We call Δ the *discriminant*. Note that Δ vanishes if, and only if, f has a repeated root. This makes it very useful.

DEFINITION: We say that $\text{Gal}(f)$ *acts transitively* on the roots of f if

$$\forall \alpha_i, \alpha_j, i \neq j (\exists \sigma \in \text{Gal}(f) \text{ such that } \sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j).$$

PROPOSITION: Let f be squarefree. $\text{Gal}(f)$ acts transitively on the roots of f if, and only if, f is irreducible.

PROOF:

The converse follows from properties of a splitting field. See Lang's *Algebra* for details.

Assume $\text{Gal}(f)$ acts transitively on the roots of f . Let $f(\alpha) = 0$ and set $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ to be the minimal polynomial of α . Then there exists some $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f = gh$. Using transitivity and the fact that $0 = \sigma(g(\alpha)) = g(\sigma(\alpha))$, we see that all roots of f are roots of

g . So $\deg f = \deg g$ (since f is squarefree!). Hence $f = cg$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, and g 's being minimal means g , and hence f , must be irreducible.

NOTATION: We will write Gal to indicate $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/k)$ in what follows.

MAIN THEOREM OF GALOIS THEORY

For any subfield \mathbb{F} of \mathbb{K} so that $k \subset \mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{K}$, write

$$G(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}) \doteq \{\sigma \in \text{Gal} \mid \sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ is an automorphism, and } \sigma|_{\mathbb{F}} = \text{id}\}.$$

For any subgroup H where $\{(1)\} < H < \text{Gal}$, write

$$\mathbb{K}^H \doteq \{\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \forall \sigma \in H\}.$$

Then $H \mapsto \mathbb{K}^H$ is a bijection of subgroups of G onto subfields \mathbb{F} of \mathbb{K} . The inverse of this map is $\mathbb{F} \mapsto G(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})$; that is,

$$H \mapsto \mathbb{K}^H \mapsto G(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K}^H) = H.$$

Furthermore, a subfield $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{K}$ is a splitting field if, and only if, $G(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})$ is normal in Gal , in which case $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})$.

We present the following **DIAGRAM** as an indication of what is going on here:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K} & \rightarrow & G(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K}) = \{\sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid \sigma|_{\mathbb{K}} = \text{id}\} = \{\text{id}\} \\ \cup & & \cap \\ \mathbb{F} & \rightarrow & G(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}) = \{\sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid \sigma|_{\mathbb{F}} = \text{id}\} \\ \cup & & \cap \\ k & \rightarrow & G(\mathbb{K}/k) = \{\sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid \sigma|_k = \text{id}\} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/k) \end{array}$$

NOTE: This implies that $k \mapsto G(\mathbb{K}/k) \mapsto \mathbb{K}^{G(\mathbb{K}/k)} = k$; that is,

$$\{\alpha \in \mathbb{K} : \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/k)\} = k.$$

So if $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \setminus k$, then there exists some σ such that $\sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha$.

EXAMPLE: Recall that $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$ has $\text{Gal} = S_3$. Then the diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) & \rightarrow & \{\text{id}\} \\ \cup & & \cap \\ \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\delta) = \mathbb{Q}\left(\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)\right) & \rightarrow & \{\sigma \mid \sigma(\delta) = \delta\} = A_3 \\ \cup & & \cap \\ \mathbb{Q} & \rightarrow & S_3 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAMS: The field extension diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1) & & \mathbb{Q}(\delta) & & \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_2) & & \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_3) \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{Q} & & \end{array}$$

$$\{(1)\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{(1), (23)\} & A_3 & \{(1), (13)\} & \{(1), (23)\} & \\ & & & & S_3 \end{array}$$

$$(ax^2 + bx + c = 0) \Leftrightarrow \left(x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \right).$$
$$k = \mathbb{E}_0 \subset \mathbb{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{E}_m = \mathbb{E}$$

EXAMPLES:

2. Let $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$.

$$\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt[3]{-\frac{27}{2}q + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-2\sqrt{\Delta}}}\right).$$

The Italian Cardano gave an explicit formula for this during the middle ages.

3. There is also a formula for $x^4 + ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$, but not beyond that, as we show below.

For the explicit formulas of the cubic and the quartic, we refer the reader to van der Waerden's *Modern Algebra*, second edition, volume 1.

DEFINITION: A group G is solvable if there is a tower of normal subgroups

$$\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_m = G$$

where each G_i / G_{i-1} is cyclic.

EXAMPLES:

S_2 is cyclic, so it is solvable.

$S_3 \triangleright A_3 \triangleright \{(1)\}$ and $S_3 / A_3 \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}$, $A_3 / \{(1)\} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3\mathbb{Z}$, so it is solvable.

S_4 is also solvable, but S_n is *not* solvable for $n \geq 5$. (The clown guilty for this gross inconvenience is actually A_n . For details, see an algebra text.)

THEOREM: f is solvable by radicals if, and only if, $\text{Gal}(f)$ is solvable.

A proof of the theorem above is beyond the scope of this course. However, note that, from a computational point of view, when $n \leq 4$, the tower of solvable groups tells us how to find a formula for the roots.

OPEN PROBLEM: Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ have degree n .

We know there exists an algorithm that calculates the generators of the Galois group of f in exponential time (on n and the bit size of the coefficients of f). Is there some algorithm that calculates the generators of the Galois group that has polynomial time?

We *do* know that we can decide in polynomial time whether the Galois group is solvable. For this result, see Susan Landau and Gary Miller in *Comp. Sys. Sci.*, vol. 30, 1985.